UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Twin Falls District Burley Field Office 15 East 200 South Burley, Idaho 83318

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW SHEET

NEPA No. ID-220-2009-CE-3616

Project Description

The proposed action is to authorize a 10-year Free Use Permit (FUP) to the Twin Falls Highway District (Shoshone Basin Pit) for the purpose of maintaining and constructing roads in the area. The 5-acre site is located approximately 4 miles northeast of Magic Hot Springs and lies within the Kerr - Lost Creek Grazing Allotment in T. 16 South, R. 17 East, Sec. 2, N½NW¼NW¼NE¼ within Lot 2.

Approximately 50,000 cubic yards of sand and gravel will be removed in the 10-year term of this FUP and used to maintain roads in the area. According to the mining and reclamation plan (attached), operations will occur from the fall to spring on an as-needed basis. Equipment used for the sand and gravel removal operation includes loaders, dozers, and dump trucks.

A site inspection was performed by Valerie Lenhartzen, Geologist, on July 30, 2008. The site was free of debris and high, steep working faces. The permittee appeared to be operating in compliance with the free use permit and permit stipulations.

Reclamation will involve shaping the pit walls to blend in with and surrounding landscape and supplying adequate drainage where needed. The seed mixture for re-planting will be recommended and approved by the authorized officer. Seeding will be repeated until a satisfactory stand is established as determined by the authorized officer.

This site has been authorized as a FUP to Twin Falls Highway District since at least 1983 and has undergone various environmental analyses since that time. Cultural and Threatened or Endangered Plant/Animal Clearances have previously been done on this site (see attached).

Consideration of Extraordinary Circumstances:

This categorical exclusion review sheet documents the review of the proposed action to determine if any of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2 apply. If any of the extraordinary circumstances apply to the proposed action, then an EA or EIS must be prepared. Any evidence or concerns that one or more of the exceptions may apply must be brought to the attention of the manager who is authorized to approve the proposed action.

May Apply	Does Not Apply	Description of Extraordinary Circumstances 1. Have significant impacts on public health or safety. Rationale: The proposed project would not have significant impacts on public health or safety. The proposed project is located in a site that has been used for a gravel source by the Twin Falls Highway District since at least 1983 and, during that time, has not had significant impacts on public health or safety.
	X	2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas. **Rationale:** There have been no historic or cultural resources (see #7 below); park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands; floodplains; national monuments; and other ecologically significant or critical areas identified at the site. Migratory bird species would not be affected because of avoidance stipulations and because no significant amount of habitat would be altered.
	X	3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2) (E)]. <i>Rationale:</i> Operating gravel pits are common in the Burley Resource Area on both private lands and public lands. The Twin Falls Management Framework Plan designates this area as open to mineral leasing/sale and there are no controversial environmental effects or unresolved conflicts.
	X	4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks. Rationale: The impacts for operating a sand and gravel pit are not significant and are not uncertain. Many mineral materials sites that include sand and gravel pits have been authorized in the past on public lands with little environmental effect. The environmental impacts are well understood and do not involve any unique or unknown risks.
	X	5. Establish a precedent for future actions or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects. <i>Rationale:</i> This proposed action does not establish a precedent for any future actions. All future actions will be analyzed for significant environmental effects individually and without regard to this action.
	X	6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. *Rationale: This action will not authorize any new disturbance. This action does not have a direct relationship to actions other than road maintenance in the Twin Falls Highway District. Removing sand and gravel, combined with maintaining roads, would not result in any significant environmental effects.

	7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office. <i>Rationale:</i> A cultural survey was done in 1982 and no cultural resources were found in the 5-acre area that includes the site for the proposed action. The 1982 cultural clearance remains valid for the project site.
X	If there are any future or inadvertent historic, cultural or paleontological property discoveries made during project operation, there will be an immediate cessation of project activities and the Burley Field Manager will be contacted for further investigation (see also 36 CFR 800.11 and SPA). In the event that American Indian human remains, unassociated funerary objects, or grave goods are encountered, work in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will cease, and BLM shall comply with NAGPRA as outlined in 43 CFR 10 by consulting with the SHPO and implementing appropriate mitigation.
X	8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated critical habitat for these species. *Rationale:* There are no listed or proposed to be listed species (on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species), nor their habitat, occurring in the project area or potentially affected by this project.
X	9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. Rationale: The proposed action would not violate any laws or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment. Migratory birds could occur and nest in the area, however, a stipulation which requires the permittee to avoid active bird nests encountered during operations at all times would prevent any harm to migratory birds or their nests.
X	10. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898). *Rationale:* The proposed action will have no adverse impact on low income or minority populations, or any population. Residences in the area are sparsely distributed.
X	11. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007). **Rationale:** The project will not limit any access either to the project area, or any outlying areas. The site is accessed from the White Rock county road on the north and is adjacent to another county road, 3200E, which runs northwest-southeast, then south of the site.
	X X

X	12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112). **Rationale:** The proposed action, if authorized, will be required to follow contract stipulations that include prevention of the spread of Idaho's official list of 57 species of noxious weeds and other non-native invasive species and require the extermination of the same if encountered on site.
---	--

Participating Staff

		Comme	nts Provided	
	Position Title or	(Initial One)		
Name of Participant	Resource Expertise	None / Attached		Date
Valerie Lenhartzen	Geologist		/s/ VJL	4/08/2009
Jeremy Bisson	Wildlife Biologist		/s/ JRB	3/03/2009
Jim Tharp	Botanist		/s/ JT	3/20/2009
Suzann Henrikson	Cultural Resources		/s/ SH	3/10/2009
Scott Sayer	Range		/s/ SS	2/13/2009
Ryan Berlin	Weeds	/s/ RB		3/23/2009
Katherine Farrell	Planning &	/s/ KF		4/20/2009
	Environmental			
	Coordinator			